Ohfuji, T., Kaneda, T., Lipids 8, 353 (1973).
Omura, T., Sato, R., J. Biol. Chem. 239, 2370 (1964).
Sato, R., Nishibayashi, H., Ito, A., "Microsomes and Drug Oxidations," Academic Press, New York, N.Y., 1969, pp 111-132.
Steibert, E., Koj, F., Zesz. Probl. Postepow Nauk. Roln. 136, 239 (1972).

Street, J. C., Baker, R. C., Wagstaff, D. J., Urry, F. M., Proceedings of the Second IUPAC International Congress of Pesticide Chemistry, Tel Aviv, 1971, pp 281-302.
 Tappel, A. L., Fed. Proc., Fed. Amer. Soc. Exp. Biol. 32, 1870 (1973)

(1973).

Temple, A. R., George, D. J., Done, A. K., Biochem. Pharmacol. 20, 1718 (1971).

Thompson, J. A., Paulose, M. M., Reddy, B. R., Krishnamurthy, R. G., Chang, S. S., Food Technol. 21, 87A (1967).

Received for review June 11, 1974. Accepted November 27, 1974. This work was supported by the Utah Agricultural Experiment. Station and USDA Regional Research Funds to study natural toxicants in foods, Project W-122. This paper was presented at the Symposium on Effects of Oxidized Lipids on Food Proteins and Flavor, 167th National Meeting of the American Chemical Society, Division of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, Los Angeles, Calif., April 1974.

Other papers presented at the 167th National Meeting of the American Chemical Society in the Symposium on Effects of Oxidized Lipids on Food Proteins and Flavor but not printed in this issue are: "Interaction of Lipids with Non-Lipid Components of Fish Muscle during Storage," by C. H. Castell; and "Odour and Oxidative Changes in Beef Fat Developed during Treatment by  $\gamma$ -Irradiation," by N. Kosaric, T. B. Duong, and W. Y. Svrcek. Another paper which was presented at the Symposium as "Recent Developments in the Use of Free Radicals as Antioxidants," by H. S. Olcott, J. S. Lin, and T. Tom, already has been published under the title "Proline Nitroxide," by James S. Lin, Theresa C. Tom, and Harold S. Olcott J. Agr. Food Chem. 22(3), 526 (1974)].

## Cytochrome P-450 Optical Difference Spectra of Insecticides. A Comparative Study

Arun P. Kulkarni, Richard B. Mailman, and Ernest Hodgson\*

The cytochrome P-450 optical difference spectra of insecticides were examined using hepatic microsomes from sheep, rabbit, rat, and mouse as well as abdominal microsomes from insecticide-(Fc)and insecticide-susceptible resistant (CSMA) house flies. The results generally conform to the hypothesis that type II binding spectra are elicited by compounds containing a nitrogen atom with a sterically accessible pair of nonbonded electrons and, in addition, certain compounds with nucleophilic oxygen atoms. Other compounds generally exhibit type I spectral

The microsomal hemoprotein, cytochrome P-450, has been implicated as the terminal oxidase in the microsomal mixed-function oxidase system responsible for the metabolism of xenobiotics (including insecticides) in mammals (Hodgson, 1968; Gillette et al., 1972; Parke, 1968) and insects (Hodgson, 1968; Hodgson and Plapp, 1970; Wilkinson and Brattsten, 1972). The first step involved in the oxidation of a xenobiotic by the mixed-function oxidase system is its binding to oxidized cytochrome P-450 (Gillette et al., 1972), a step which can be studied by optical difference spectroscopy. Two principal types of difference spectra are recognized with oxidized cytochrome P-450: type I, with a peak at 385-390 nm and a trough at 416-420 nm (Cooper et al., 1965; Remmer et al., 1966), and type II, with a peak at 424-430 nm and a trough(s) between 390 and 410 nm (Cooper et al., 1965; Remmer et al., 1966; Schenkman et al., 1967; Sasame and Gillette, 1969). Type I spectra are known to be caused by many compounds including drugs and pesticides (Schenkman et al., 1967; Sasame and Gillette, 1969; Kuwatsuka, 1970; binding or do not form detectable spectra. Exceptions include certain pyrethroids which, while giving typical type I difference spectra with mammalian microsomes, gave rise to an unusual spectrum in insects, one with a peak at 415-418 nm and trough at 445-447 nm. Rotenone also gave rise to unusual difference spectra with hepatic microsomes of sheep and rabbit. Differences in the ratios of spectral size between species indicate that qualitative differences in the cytochrome P-450 of different species are common.

Mailman and Hodgson, 1972; Baker et al., 1972) while type II is characteristic of nitrogen-containing compounds with a sterically accessible pair of nonbonded electrons as well as certain alcohols (Cooper et al., 1965; Remmer et al., 1966; Schenkman et al., 1967; Jefcoate et al., 1969; Jefcoate and Gaylor, 1969; Diehl et al., 1970; Mailman et al., 1974; Kulkarni et al., 1974).

Compounds which give rise to type I spectra are usually substrates for the microsomal mixed-function oxidase system. Previous investigators, with few exceptions (Schenkman et al., 1967; Imai and Sato, 1967; Remmer et al., 1969), have failed to demonstrate a correlation between spectrum formation by different compounds and the rates of their oxidative metabolism (Temple, 1971). This is to say  $K_s$ , the spectral binding constant, is not correlated in any systematic way with  $K_m$ , the Michaelis constant for the enzymatic reaction. Insecticides are not only metabolized by the mixed-function oxidase system but may also function as inhibitors or inducers (Hodgson, 1968; Hodgson and Plapp, 1970; Wilkinson and Brattsten, 1972; Baker et al., 1972; Stevens et al., 1973; Stevens and Greene, 1973; Greene, 1972; Greene and Stevens, 1973). Little is known concerning either the binding of insecticides to cytochrome P-450 or the variation between

Department of Entomology, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, North Carolina 27607.

species in this regard. The present investigations were undertaken to provide comparative data in both of these areas.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Insecticides. Analytical grade insecticides were either purchased from City Chemical Corporation or were generous gifts from the following sources: Perrine Primate Lab, Perrine, Fla.; Union Carbide Chemical Company; Ortho Division, Chevron; American Cyanamid; Imperial Chemical Industries; Dow Chemical Co.; Pyrethrum Board, Kenya; FMC Corporation, Niagara Chemical Division; E. I. duPont de Nemours and Co.: Mobil Chemical Co.: and Dr. Elliott, Department of Insecticides and Fungicides, Rothamsted Experimental Station, Harpenden, Hertfordshire, England. The chemical names of all insecticides are as follows: aldrin, 1,2,3,4,10,10-hexachloro-1,4,4a,5,8,8ahexahydro-1,4-endo-exo-5,8-dimethanonaphthalene; al-2-allyl-4-hydroxy-3-methyl-2-cyclopenten-1-one lethrin. ester of 2,2-dimethyl-3-(2-methylpropenyl)cyclopropanecarboxylic acid; anabasine, L-2-(3-pyridyl)piperidine; Azodrin. 3-hydroxyl-N-methyl-cis-crotonamide dimethyl phosphate; barthrin, 6-chloropiperonyl 2,2-dimethyl-3-(2methylpropenyl)cyclopropanecarboxylate; Baygon, 2-isopropoxyphenyl N-methylcarbamate; bioallethrin,  $(\pm)$ -allethronyl (+)-trans-chrysanthemate; bioresemethrin, (5benzyl-3-furyl)methyl (+)-trans-chrysanthemate; Bux, mixture of m-(1-methylbutyl)phenyl methylcarbamate (52.7%) and m-(1-ethylpropyl)phenyl methylcarbamate (19.1%); carbaryl, 1-naphthyl N-methylcarbamate; carbofuran, 2,3-dihydro-2,2-dimethyl-7-benzofuranyl methylchlordane, 1,2,4,5,6,7,8,8-octachlorocarbamate: 3a,4,7,7a-tetrahydro-4,7-methanoindan; coumaphos, O,Odiethyl O-(3-chloro-4-methyl-2-oxo-(2H)-1-benzopyran-7yl) phosphorothioate; cyclethrin, *dl*-2-(2-furfuryl)-3-inethyl-2-cyclopenten-4-ol-1-onyl *dl-cis-trans*-chrysantheyl) mate; p,p'-DDT, 1,1,1-trichloro-2,2-bis(p-chlorophenyl)ethane; diazinon, O,O-diethyl O-(2-isopropyl-6-methyl-4-pyrimidinyl) phosphorothioate; dieldrin, 1,2,3,4,10,10-hexachloro-6,7-epoxy-1,4,4a,5,6,7,8a-octahydro-1,4-endoexo-5,8-dimethanonaphthalene; dimethoate, O,O-dimethyl S-(N-methylcarbamoyl)methyl phosphorodithioate; dimethrin, 2,4-dimethylbenzyl-2,2-dimethyl-3-(2-methylpropenyl) cyclopropanecarboxylate; dimetilan, 1-(dimethylcarbamoyl)-5-methyl-3-pyrazolyl dimethylcarbamate; endosulfan, 6,7,8,9,10,10-hexachloro-1,5,5a,6,9,9a-hexahydro-6,9-methano-2,4,3-benzodioxathiepin 3-oxide; endrin, 1,2,3,4,10,10-hexachloro-6,7-epoxy-1,4,4a,5,6,7,8,8a-octahydro-1,4-endo-endo-5,8-dimethanonaphthalene; Guthion, O, O-dimethyl S-[4-oxo-1,2,3-benzotriazin-3(4H)yl]methyl phosphorodithioate; heptachlor, 1,4,5,6,7,8,8heptachloro-3a,4,7,7a-tetrahydro-4,7-methanoindene; Kelthane. 1,1-bis(p-chlorophenyl)-2,2,2-trichloroethanol; Kepone, decachlorooctahydro-1,3,4-methano-2H-cyclobuta[cd]pentalen-2-one; lindane, 1,2,3,4,5,6-hexachlorocyclohexane; malathion, diethyl mercaptosuccinate, S-ester with O,O-dimethyl phosphorodithioate; Menazon, O,Odimethyl S-(4,6-diamino-s-triazin-2-yl)methyl phosphorodithioate; methomyl, methyl N-[(methylcarbamoyl)oxy]thioacetimidate; Methoxychlor, 2,2-bis(p-methoxyphenyl)-1,1,1-trichloroethane; Mirex, dodecachlorooctahydro-1,3,4-metheno-1*H*-cyclobuta[*cd*]pentalene; Mobam, benzo[b]thien-4-yl methylcarbamate; Neopynamin, 2,2dimethyl-3-(2-methylpropenyl)cyclopropane carboxvlic acid esters with N-(hydroxymethyl)-1-cyclohexene-1,2-dicarboximide; nicotine, *l*-3-(1-methyl-2-pyrrolidyl)pyridine; NRDC-108, (5-benzyl-3-furyl)methyl 2,2,3,3-tetramethylcyclopropanecarboxylate; NRDC-119, (5-benzyl-3furyl)methyl (+)-cis-chrysanthemate; pyrethrins, mixture of pyrethrins I and II; pyrethrum extract, mixture of pyrethroids; rotenone, 1,2,12,12a-tetrahydro-2-isopropenyl-8,9-dimethoxy-(1)-benzopyrano[3,4-b]furo[2,3-b](1)-benzopyran-6(6aH)-one; Ruelene, 4-tert-butyl-2-chlorophenyl

methyl methylphosphoramidate; SBP-1382, (5-benzyl-3-furyl)methyl 2,2-dimethyl-3-(2-methylpropenyl)cyclopropanecarboxylate; TDE, mixture of 2-(o-chlorophenyl)-2-(p-chlorophenyl)-1,1-dichloroethane (25%) and 2,2-bis(p-chlorophenyl)-1,1-dichloroethane (70%); Temik, 2-methyl-2-(methylthio)propionaldehyde O-(methylcarbamoyl)oxime; toxaphene, polychlorinated camphene; trichlorfon, O, O-dimethyl (1-hydroxy-2,2,2-trichloroethyl)phosphonate; Zectran, 4-dimethylamino-3,5-xylyl methylcarbamate; Zinophos, O, O-diethyl O-(2-pyrazinyl) phosphorothioate.

Assays. The livers of 6-8-week-old male mice (North Carolina Department of Health Strain), rats (Wistar Strain), rabbits (weighing 5-6 lb), and female sheep were used as well as dissected abdomens of 6-day-old female house flies (*Musca domestica* L.) of the CSMA insecticide-susceptible strain and the Fc insecticide-resistant strain (Tate *et al.*, 1973).

Animals were killed by decapitation and the liver removed, rinsed, diced, washed, and homogenized in 0.05 M Tris-HCl buffer containing 0.1 M KCl (pH 7.5). The brei was then passed through two layers of cheesecloth and centrifuged at 10,000g for 15 min. The resulting supernatant was filtered through glasswool, and the microsomes were sedimented at 105,000g for 1 hr. The microsomal pellet was gently washed and resuspended in  $0.5 M \text{ K}_2 \text{HPO}_4$ -KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> buffer (pH 7.5). Except for the initial washing, an identical procedure was used for obtaining microsomes from house fly abdomens. The final suspension was of a concentration equal to 25 abdomens/ml. The protein content (Lowry et al., 1951) of the microsomal suspensions used varied between 1 and 2 mg/ml in the case of mouse, rat, rabbit, and sheep microsomes and between 2 and 4 mg/ml in the case of house fly microsomes of either strain.

The substrate difference spectra of insecticides were recorded using a Beckman Acta V spectrophotometer equipped with a turbid sample accessory. Since most of the insecticides are water insoluble, they were deposited on the bottom of 25-ml erlenmeyer flasks by evaporation of acetone solutions prior to the addition of the microsomal suspension. Three milliliters of microsomal suspension was added to each flask and, following aerobic incubation, with constant shaking, at ambient temperature for 15 min, was transferred to the sample cuvette. Corresponding incubations of microsomes without added insecticide were transferred to the reference cuvette. Nicotine was added directly, by microsyringe, to the microsomes in the sample cuvette while an equal volume of buffer was added to the reference cuvette. Several concentrations of each insecticide were incubated with freshly prepared microsomes to determine the concentration required for maximal spectral change. Spectral size of a given candidate insecticide as used in Tables I-IV is defined as the maximum peak to trough  $\Delta OD$  relative to the reduced cytochrome P-450-carbon monoxide difference spectrum  $(\Delta OD 450-490 \text{ nm})$  for the same preparation. Formal guidelines for the interpretation of optical difference spectra (Kulkarni and Hodgson, 1975; Mailman et al., 1974) were used in order to eliminate discrepancies due to shifting base lines, denaturation of cytochrome P-450, etc. A variation of 10-20% was observed from one batch of microsomes to another. Cytochrome P-450 was estimated as the reduced CO complex in each microsomal preparation by the method of Omura and Sato (1964). The cytochrome P-420 level was negligible while no hemoglobin was detected in the preparations used. The average level of cytochrome P-450, measured as the reduced CO-difference spectrum after appropriate dilution with phosphate buffer, was  $0.100 \pm 0.010 \Delta OD$  unit for mouse, rat, rabbit, and sheep microsomes and 0.060  $\pm$  0.010 and 0.070  $\pm$ 0.015  $\Delta OD$  units for CSMA and Fc house fly microsomes, respectively. If the extinction coefficient of 91  $\rm cm^{-1}$ 

 $mM^{-1}$  for the reduced CO complex of cytochrome P-450, as shown by Omura and Sato (1964) for the rabbit, is assumed to be correct for all animal species, then the observed levels of cytochrome P-450 would be 1.10 ± 0.11 nmol/ml for mouse, rat, rabbit, and sheep microsomes and 0.66 ± 0.07 and 0.77 ± 0.12 nmol/ml for CSMA and Fc house fly microsomes, respectively.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The data obtained with various botanical insecticides and synthetic pyrethroids are given in Table I. This group of insecticides exhibits different types of spectral binding with oxidized cytochrome P-450 obtained from different species. Only nicotine and anabasine cause a type II spectral shift (Figure 1A) in all species studied. Rotenone does not produce any detectable spectrum with rat, mouse, and both strains of house fly while an unusual difference spectrum was observed with sheep and rabbit microsomes. As shown in Figure 1B this spectrum has a characteristic trough at 395 nm while the absorption maxima are at 415 and 417 nm, respectively, in microsomes from sheep and rabbit. These results confirm those reported by Kuwatsuka (1970) for rabbit and by Mailman and Hodgson (1972) for mouse microsomes and disagree with those of Schenkman et al. (1967) who reported a modified type II interaction of rotenone with rat microsomes.

The remaining insecticides (Table I) elicit a type I (Figure 1C) spectral interaction with the mammalian hepatic microsomes except for bioresemethrin which showed no detectable spectrum with rabbit microsomes. Further, in this species an additional peak at 417 nm is apparent when higher concentrations of pyrethrum extract, pyrethrins, allethrin, or bioallethrin were used.

In contrast to mammalian preparations, house fly microsomes from either strain exhibited unusual difference spectra with pyrethrins, allethrin, and bioallethrin. As illustrated in Figure 1D this spectrum has a characteristic peak at 415-418 nm and a trough at 445-447 nm. This spectral response differs from that caused by rotenone (Figure 1B) in that it has a distinct trough at 445-447 nm rather than at 395 nm. In addition, these spectral characteristics are observed at low as well as at higher concentrations and, therefore, cannot be considered those of an inverse type I spectrum (Schenkman et al., 1972). The CSMA house fly microsomes do not yield any spectrum with the remaining compounds from this group while pyrethrum extract, dimethrin, Neopynamin, NRDC-119, and cyclethrin exhibit type I spectral interactions with Fc house fly microsomes. This is in agreement with earlier observations on the absence of type I spectral interactions in susceptible house flies and their presence in resistant strains (Philpot and Hodgson, 1972; Tate et al., 1973; Kulkarni et al., 1974).

In general, the order of spectral magnitude was observed to be rat > sheep > mouse > rabbit > Fc > CSMA house flies for type I spectral interactions, and rabbit > rat > mouse > sheep > Fc > CSMA house flies for type II spectral shifts indicating variation between species.

The data obtained with chlorinated hydrocarbon insecticides are given in Table II. Except for CSMA microsomes, which show no type I spectra, and Fc microsomes, which show a type II spectral shift with Kepone, all of these compounds give rise to type I spectral interaction with cytochrome from all sources.

The spectral amplitude of TDE and Kelthane was found to be much larger (Table II) than the parent compound DDT or its closely related analog, methoxychlor. The spectral size of aldrin is smaller than that of its epoxide, dieldrin, in the case of rat microsomes while the reverse is true with rabbit and Fc microsomes. Both sheep and mouse microsomes exhibit an almost equal response to aldrin and dieldrin. Similar results were observed with



**Figure 1.** Oxidized cytochrome P-450 substrate optical difference spectrum of: (A) nicotine with hepatic microsomes of mouse; (B) rotenone  $(2.5 \times 10^{-3} M)$  with hepatic microsomes of rabbit; (C) pyrethrins  $(7.5 \times 10^{-4} M)$  with hepatic microsomes of mouse; (D) pyrethrins  $(5.0 \times 10^{-6} M)$  with abdominal microsomes of Fc house flies; (E) carbaryl  $(2.0 \times 10^{-3} M)$  with hepatic microsomes of rabbit. See Materials and Methods for additional details.

these insecticides by Greene (1972) and Greene and Stevens (1973) using either rat or mouse microsomes. However, a quantitative comparison is not possible since these authors added ethanol solutions of the insecticides directly to the sample cuvette and reported spectral size as the  $\Delta OD$  between 470 nm and the trough. With the exception of rabbit microsomes, the spectral interactions of endrin, an endo-endo isomer of dieldrin, were equal or of greater magnitude than dieldrin although the endrin concentration required for maximal spectral change was found to be equal to or less than dieldrin (Table II). In general, the spectral magnitudes observed for these insecticides are rat > sheep > mouse > rabbit > Fc > CSMA house flies.

The CSMA microsomes did not yield a detectable spectrum with any of the carbamate insecticides tested (Table III) while, with minor exceptions, type I binding was observed with the microsomes from other sources. Carbaryl induced a spectrum with rabbit microsomes which shows a major peak at 407 nm and a minor peak at 385 nm while the trough is located at 427 nm (Figure 1E). These results agree in part with those of Kuwatsuka (1970) although he did not report the minor peak. Stevens et al. (1973) reported that carbaryl exhibited unstable binding with rat and mouse microsomes. However, we observe quite stable binding of this insecticide with all the cytochrome P-450's studied except that of CSMA house fly microsomes with which no detectable spectrum is produced. With sheep microsomes, methomyl was found to cause a mixed spectrum at all concentrations tested. A similar spectral response was observed with rat and rabbit but not with the mouse microsomes when higher concentrations were employed (>1  $\times$  10<sup>-3</sup> M). In addition, higher concentrations of Baygon and Temik with rat microsomes gave rise to a spectrum which contained a type II component in addition to type I. Bux gave the largest spectral magnitude with all cytochrome P-450's studied while the smallest spectrum was produced by Mobam with sheep and rabbit, by carbofuran with rat, by Temik and methomyl with mouse, and by dimetilan with Fc house fly microsomes.

The spectral data obtained with various organophosphate insecticides are given in Table IV. Cytochrome P-450 from all sources exhibited a type II spectral shift

Sh														Hous	e fly			
	deeb		!	Rabbit			Rat			Mouse			Fc			CSMA		1
Fin Insecticide Type concn	al 1, <i>M</i> S	ize T	ype c	Final concn, M	Size	Type	Final concn, M	Size	Type	Final concn, <i>M</i>	Size	Type	Final concn, <i>M</i>	Size	Type	Final concn, A	A Si	ize
Nicotine II	0	434 I	I		0.540	п		0.505	Π		0.522	11		0.412	H		0.5	255
Anabasine II 3.0 >	× 10 <sup>-3</sup> 0.	333 I	I 5	$5.0  imes 10^{-4}$	0.680	II	$2.0 imes10^{-3}$	0.383	Π	$1.0  imes 10^{-3}$	0.461	Ш	$1.0 \times 10^{-2}$	0.240	II	$2.5 \times 10$	-2 0.1	183
Rotenone $\alpha$ 2.5 >	× 10 <sup>-3</sup> 0.	094 a	1 2	$2.5  imes 10^{-3}$	0.130	QN			QN			QN			QN			
Pyrethrum I 2.00	mg 0.	115 I	ŝ	3.00 mg	0.099	Ι	0.75 mg	0.202	I	<b>1</b> .00 mg	0.096	1	0.50 mg	0.156	QN			
extract																		
Pyrethrins I 1.0 >	× 10 <sup>-3</sup> 0.	113 I	1	$1.0 \times 10^{-3}$	0.080	I	$1.5 \times 10^{-3}$	0.223	I	$7.4  imes 10^{-4}$	0.104	q	$5.0 imes10^{-6}$	0.374	q	$5.0 \times 10$	-e 0.]	140
Allethrin I 7.4 >	$\times 10^{-1}$ 0.	270 I	1	$1.0 \times 10^{-4}$	0.160	I	$5.0  imes 10^{-4}$	0.362	I	$1.0  imes 10^{-3}$	0.278	q	$3.0 imes10^{-5}$	0.300	q	$5.0 \times 10$	-5	286
Bioallethrin I 7.5 >	× 10 <sup>-4</sup> 0.	280 I	1	$1.5  imes 10^{-3}$	0.120	I	$5.0  imes 10^{-4}$	0.383	I	$2.5  imes 10^{-4}$	0.287	q	$1.0 \times 10^{-5}$	0.214	q	$3.0 \times 10$	-5 0.3	160
Dimethrin <b>I</b> 1.5 >	× 10 <sup>-3</sup> 0.	260 I	1	$1.0 \times 10^{-3}$	0.070	I	$2.0 imes10^{-3}$	0.298	I	$5.0  imes 10^{-4}$	0.174	I	$2.0 imes10^{-5}$	0.241	QN			
Neopynamin I 1.0 >	× 10 <sup>-3</sup> 0.	300 I	1	$1.0 \times 10^{-3}$	0.140	I	$1.5  imes 10^{-3}$	0.390	I	$2.5 imes10^{-4}$	0.242	I	$1.0  imes 10^{-5}$	0.259	Q			
Bioresemethrin I 1.0 >	× 10 <sup>-3</sup> 0.	122 F	٩,			I	$1.5 \times 10^{-3}$	0.192	I	$2.5 imes10^{-4}$	0.142	QN			Q			
NRDC-119 I >2.0 >	× 10 <sup>-3</sup> 0.	266 I	1	$1.0 \times 10^{-3}$	0.090	I	$2.0 imes10^{-3}$	0.223	1	$2.0  imes 10^{-3}$	0.191	I	$1.0 \times 10^{-5}$	0.151	Q			
NRDC-108 I >7.5 >	× 10 <sup>-3</sup> 0.	110 I	1	$1.5 \times 10^{-3}$	0.140	I	$7.5  imes 10^{-4}$	0.253	I	$2.5 \times 10^{-4}$	0.158	QN			QN			
SBP-1382 I >1.0 >	× 10- <sup>3</sup> 0.	170 I	1	$1.0  imes 10^{-3}$	0.060	I	$5.0  imes 10^{-4}$	0.200	I	$5.0 \times 10^{-4}$	0.122	QN			QN			
Cyclethrin I 3.8 >	$\times 10^{-4}$ 0.	333 I	с.	$5.0 \times 10^{-4}$	0.200	I	$2.5  imes 10^{-4}$	0.324	I	$5.0  imes 10^{-4}$	0.278	I	$1.0 \times 10^{-5}$	0.100	QN			
Barthrin I 1.5 >	× 10 <sup>-3</sup> 0.	208 I	1	$1.0 \times 10^{-3}$	0.088	I	$1.0  imes 10^{-3}$	0.242	I	$7.5 \times 10^{-4}$	0.191	I	$3.0  imes 10^{-3}$	0.150	QN			
<sup>a</sup> Absorption minimum at 395 nn detectable spectrum.	n and absor	ption mí	aximun	n at 415 nm	in sheep	or at 4	17 nm in rabt	it. <sup>ø</sup> Ab	sorptio	n minimum	at 445-4	47 nm	and absorpti	on maxi	num al	: 415-418 г	IM; NI	), no

Microsomes	
and Insect	
ammalian	
P-450 of M	
ytochrome	
with C <sub>3</sub>	
secticides	
ocarbon In	
ated Hydr	
of Chlorin	
teractions	
pectral Int	
Table II. S	

		Size										
	CSMA	Final concn, M										
: fly		Type	ND <sup>®</sup>	ND	ΩN	QN	ND	QN	QN	QN	ND	QN
House		Size	0.120	0.100	0.154	0.143	0.150	0.128	0.133	0.114	0.147	0.114
	Fс	Final e concn, <i>M</i>	$5.0 \times 10^{-3}$	$2.0  imes 10^{-3}$	$1.0  imes 10^{-4}$	$1.0 \times 10^{-3}$	$6.0 imes10^{-3}$	$3.5  imes 10^{-3}$	$3.0 \times 10^{-5}$	$6.6 \times 10^{-3}$	$3.3 imes10^{-3}$	$3.0  imes 10^{-3}$
		Typ	I	I	I	Ι	I	I	I	Ι	Ι	Ι
	:	Size	0.112	0.330	0.295	0.170	0.364	0.205	0.169	0.170	0.307	0.250
	Mouse	Final be concn, M	$7.5 \times 10^{-5}$	$5.0  imes 10^{-3}$	$7.5 \times 10^{-4}$	$2.0  imes 10^{-5}$	$4.0  imes 10^{-4}$	$4.0  imes 10^{-3}$	$5.0  imes 10^{-4}$	$4.0 \times 10^{-3}$	$4.0 \times 10^{-3}$	$3.0  imes 10^{-3}$
	!	Typ	3 I	0 I	5 I	8 I	7 I	3 I	7 I	1 8	I 6	2 I
		Size	0.10	0.31	0.34	0.13	0.39	0.35	0.24	0.32	0.37	0.30
	Rat	Final e concn, <i>M</i>	$0.5 \times 10^{-3}$	$2.5  imes 10^{-3}$	$0.5  imes 10^{-3}$	$7.5 \times 10^{-5}$	$7.5 \times 10^{-1}$	$2.5 imes10^{-3}$	$1.0  imes 10^{-3}$	$2.0  imes 10^{-3}$	$2.0  imes 10^{-3}$	$1.0 \times 10^{-3}$
		Typ	-	I	-	-	I	Ξ	-	Г	I	Г
		Size	0.076	0.219	0.057	0.085	0.157	0.181	0.219	0.167	0.259	0.24(
	Rabbit	Final pe concn, M	$3.0  imes 10^{-3}$	$2.0 imes10^{-3}$	$0.5  imes 10^{-3}$	$7.5 \times 10^{-4}$	$1.0  imes 10^{-3}$	$1.0 \times 10^{-3}$	$3.0  imes 10^{-3}$	$3.0  imes 10^{-3}$	$2.0 imes10^{-3}$	$1.0  imes 10^{-3}$
		e Ty	6 I	3 I	4 I	4 I	3 I	0 I	1	6 I	I 2	3 I
		Siz	0.16	0.28	0.31	0.21	0.18	0.30	0.27	0.26	0.35	0.33
	Sheep	Final concn. $M$	$4.0 \times 10^{-3}$	$3.0  imes 10^{-3}$	$1.0 \times 10^{-3}$	$0.5 \times 10^{-3}$	$2.0  imes 10^{-3}$	$2.0  imes 10^{-3}$	$2.0  imes 10^{-3}$	$4.0 \times 10^{-3}$	$2.5  imes 10^{-3}$	$2.0 \times 10^{-3}$
		Type	I	I	I	Ι	Ι	I	I	Ι	Ι	I
		Insecticide	p, p'-DDT	$TDE^{d}$	Kelthane	Methoxychlor	Lindane	Endrin	Aldrin	Dieldrin	Chlordane	Heptachlor

Toxaphene	I	1.0 mg	0.250	I	0.8 mg	0.257	Ι	0.5 mg	0.354	I	0.3 mg	0.239	Ι	2.0 mg	0.133	ND
Kepone	I	$1.0  imes 10^{-3}$	0.185	Ι	$1.5  imes 10^{-3}$	0.162	I	$1.0 imes10^{-3}$	0.366	-	$1.5  imes 10^{-3}$	0.193	Π	$1.6 imes10^{-3}$	0.120	ND
Mirex	I	$2.0 imes10^{-3}$	0.083	I	$3.0 imes10^{-3}$	0.057	Ι	$1.0  imes 10^{-3}$	0.097	I	$4.0 \times 10^{-4}$	0.080	I	$3.0 imes10^{-3}$	0.063	ND
Endosulfan	I	$1.0 imes10^{-3}$	0.348	1	$1.0  imes 10^{-3}$	0.143	I	$1.3 imes10^{-1}$	0.336	Ι	$2.0 imes10^{-4}$	0.205	I	$1.5  imes 10^{-3}$	0.211	ND
<sup>a</sup> Mixture of $p, p'$ -	- (709	%) and <i>o</i> , <i>p</i> '-'TD	E (25%)	isom	ers containing <	<5% p,p'-l	TUC	". <sup>b</sup> ND, no det	cectable si	ectr	um.					

n and Insect Microsomes
alia
of Mamm
450
e P-
rom
och
Cyl
with
Insecticides v
mate
arba
of C
ous
acti
Inter
spectral
II. S
le II
Tab

		Size										
	CSMA	Final concn, <i>M</i>										rum.
i fly		Type	$ND^{d}$	Ŋ	QN	ND	ND	ND	QN	ND	ND	ole spect
House	:	Size	0.133	0.136	0.115	0.124	0.058	0.115	0.062	0.126	0.211	detectal
	Fс	Final concn, <i>M</i>	$1.5  imes 10^{-3}$	$1.5  imes 10^{-3}$	$2.0 imes10^{-3}$	$3.0 imes10^{-3}$	$3.0 imes10^{-3}$	$2.0 imes10^{-3}$	$1.5  imes 10^{-3}$	$2.0 imes10^{-3}$	$1.0 imes10^{-4}$	(1972). <sup>d</sup> ND, no
		Type	ч	Ч	I o	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	odgson
		Size	0.200	0.215	$0.103^{\circ}$	0.135	0.089	0.144	0.122	0.089	0.244	n and Ho
	Mouse	Final concn, <i>M</i>	$2.0  imes 10^{-3}$	$2.0 imes10^{-3}$		$1.0 imes10^{-3}$	$6.0 imes10^{-6}$	$1.0 imes10^{-4}$	$2.0 imes10^{-3}$	$1.0 imes10^{-4}$	$2.0 imes10^{-5}$	a from Mailma
		Type	<b>–</b>	I	Ι	I	I	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	.° Dat
		Size	0.270	0.105	0.200	0.090	0.140	0.140	0.180	0.100	0.326	'igure 1E
	Rat	Final concn, M	$1.5  imes 10^{-3}$	$6.0 imes10^{-6}$	$1.0 imes10^{-1}$	$1.0 imes10^{-5}$	$1.0  imes 10^{-5}$	$1.0 imes10^{-5}$	$1.0 imes10^{-3}$	$1.0  imes 10^{-5}$	$1.5 imes10^{-4}$	7 nm; see also F
		Type	I	Ι	-	Г	I	Π	Ι	I	I	nd 427
		Size	0.088	0.104	0.171	0.103	0.082	0.072	0.093	0.082	0.196	D at 407 a
	Rabbit	Final concn, M	$3.0 \times 10^{-3}$	$1.0 imes10^{-3}$	$1.0  imes 10^{-3}$	$2.0 imes10^{-4}$	$2.0 imes10^{-1}$	$5.0 imes10^{-4}$	$5.0 imes10^{-4}$	$1.0 imes10^{-3}$	$2.0 imes10^{-4}$	difference in O
		Type	<i>p</i>	Ι	-	Ι	Ι	Ч	Ι	I	Ι	ated as
		Size	0.174	0.362	0.261	0.188		0.077	0.101	0.087	0.449	D calcul
	Sheep	Final concn, M	$1.5  imes 10^{-3}$	$1.5  imes 10^{-3}$	$1.5  imes 10^{-3}$	$5.0 imes10^{-5}$		$1.0  imes 10^{-1}$	$7.5  imes 10^{-4}$	$1.0  imes 10^{-3}$	$1.5 imes10^{-3}$	and type II. <sup>b</sup> ΔC
		Type	I	Ι	Ι	I	а	I	Ι	Ι	I	ype I s
		Insecticide	Carbaryl	Baygon	Zectran	Carbofuran	Methoniyl	Mobam	Dimetilan	Temik	Bux	a Mixture of t

S
ă
5
os
Ē
÷.
2
ct
se
Ë
Ы
8
ŋ
ia
a
Ξ
Ξ
Б
Σ
of
ō
15
1
Ť.
ne
õ
E
10
5
$\mathbf{x}$
2
th
5
5
ë
ii.
Ĕ
5
Se
Ц
ົຍ
at
Ë,
sp
ĝ
đ
0
an
60
č
Ľ
•
us
.5
÷.
ă
er
n t
П
al
t
SC
ď
$\mathbf{v}$
۲.
$\mathbf{Z}$
e)
P
La

House fly	Rabbit Rat Mouse Fc CSMA	Final     Final     Final     Final       Size     Type     concn, M     Size     Type     concn, M     Size	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	a a II 0.101 <sup>b</sup> I 0.086 ND	$3.77$ II $1.0 \times 10^{-4}$ $0.321$ II $1.5 \times 10^{-4}$ $0.242$ II $0.312^{b}$ II $1.0 \times 10^{-3}$ $0.321$ II $1.0 \times 10^{-3}$ $0.166$	$1.34$ I $5.0 \times 10^{-5}$ $0.155$ I $1.0 \times 10^{-5}$ $0.170$ * I $1.0 \times 10^{-3}$ $0.222$ ND	$210$ I $1.0  imes 10^{-5}$ 0.223 I $1.5  imes 10^{-4}$ 0.400 I $1.0  imes 10^{-1}$ 0.289 I $2.0  imes 10^{-5}$ 0.164 ND	$a$ a I $0.052^{b}$ I $7.5 \times 10^{-4}$ 0.148 ND	$a$ I $1.0 \times 10^{-5}$ $0.095$ I $0.021^{b}$ I $1.0 \times 10^{-3}$ $0.193$ ND	$I = 2.0  imes 10^{-4} = 0.082$ $I = 1.0  imes 10^{-5} = 0.120$ $I = 1.5  imes 10^{-5} = 0.090$ $I = 1.0  imes 10^{-4} = 0.123$ ND	$269$ I $5.0  imes 10^{-4}$ 0.093 I $1.0  imes 10^{-3}$ 0.263 I $1.0  imes 10^{-3}$ 0.150 I $1.0  imes 10^{-4}$ 0.228 ND	
	ıbbit Rat	hal Final n, <i>M</i> Size Type concn,	$10^{-4}$ 0.376 I $1.0 \times 1$	b	$10^{-4}$ 0.321 II $1.5 \times 1$	$10^{-5}$ 0.155 I $1.0 \times 1$	$10^{-5}$ 0.223 I $1.5 \times 1$	v	I $1.0 \times 1$	$10^{-4}$ 0.082 I $1.0 \times 1$	$10^{-1}$ 0.093 I $1.0 \times 1$	•
	Sheep Ra	Final e concn, <i>M</i> Size Type conc	$6.0 \times 10^{-5}$ 0.290 I 5.0 ×	U	$4.0 \times 10^{-1}$ 0.377 II 1.0 ×	$1.0 \times 10^{-4}$ 0.134 I 5.0 ×	$4.0 \times 10^{-5}$ 0.210 I 1.0 ×	<i>a</i>	v	I 2.0×	$2.0 \times 10^{-4}$ 0.269 I 5.0 ×	
		Insecticides Type	Diazinon I	Menazon <sup>c</sup> a	Zinophos II	Guthion I	Coumaphos I	Dimethoate a	Trichlorfon $a$	Azodrin a	Malathion I	Dlain

(peak 420-422 nm, trough 390-395 nm) with Zinophos although the spectral magnitude differed from species to species. Menazon, which produced a type II spectral response with mouse microsomes, caused a type I interaction with Fc microsomes. All other compounds except Guthion (Table IV) showed type I spectra with either mouse or Fc microsomes. Guthion caused a mixed spectrum at higher concentrations with mouse microsomes.

Certain concentration-dependent shifts in the spectra were also apparent. Rat microsomes with Menazon and dimethoate show a type I spectrum at low concentrations, a mixed spectrum at intermediate concentrations, and a type II spectrum (peak 420 nm, trough 390 nm) at higher concentrations. An additional peak at 415 nm appeared when the Guthion concentration was increased above  $5 \times 10^{-5} M$  and a shift in the locus of the trough from 420 to 425 nm was noted when malathion concentration was gradually changed from  $1 \times 10^{-5}$  to  $1 \times 10^{-3} M$ . This response to malathion was also seen with mouse microsomes. All other phosphate insecticides tested showed a type I spectral response with rat microsomes.

Rabbit microsomes exhibited mixed spectra (peaks at 385 and 422-425 nm) with Menazon and trichlorfon at low as well'as higher concentrations. Dimethoate showed similar concentration-dependent changes in the difference spectrum to those seen in the case of rat microsomes.

Sheep microsomes also exhibited mixed spectra with Menazon at all concentrations tested with peaks located at 385 and 415 nm. Both trichlorfon and Azodrin caused a type I spectral interaction at lower concentrations and a mixed spectrum with peaks at 385 and 420 nm at higher concentration. Dimethoate either did not yield a detectable spectrum or the spectrum was a mixture of types I and II at all the concentrations tested.

In the case of CSMA microsomes, the results obtained with organophosphate insecticides were extremely variable and inconsistent from batch to batch of microsomal preparations. Mixed spectra or type II interactions, all of very small magnitude, were noted in some experiments. Only Zinophos consistently exhibited type II spectra with these microsomes.

These results are consistent with previous reports (Mailman and Hodgson, 1972; Roth and Neal, 1972; Rao and Anders, 1973; Stevens *et al.*, 1973; Stevens and Greene, 1973). Using rat and mouse microsomes, Stevens *et al.* (1973) and Stevens and Greene (1973) reported malathion to cause a type I spectrum with an abnormally broad trough and the spectrum disappeared in about 5 min. In the present investigations, the difference spectrum was found to be quite stable and the location of the absorption minimum was observed to be a function of ligand concentration. A gradual increase in the malathion concentration tended to shift the trough from 420 to 425 nm. A similar concentration-dependent change, from 414 to 419 nm, was reported by Roth and Neal (1972) for the parathion difference spectrum of rabbit liver microsomes.

Compounds containing aromatic nitrogen in the molecules have been reported to exhibit type II difference spectra with cytochrome P-450 of several species (Schenkman et al., 1967; Jefcoate et al., 1969; Temple, 1971; Mailman et al., 1974; Kulkarni et al., 1974). Thus, both nicotine and anabasine as well as Zinophos, with a 2-pyrazinyl leaving group, exhibited type II difference spectra. At the same time carbamate and some organophosphate insecticides, although containing nitrogen atoms, did not produce such a response but rather exhibited type I spectral interactions. It has been postulated (Jefcoate et al., 1969; Temple, 1971; Mailman and Hodgson, 1972) that type II spectra are due to the presence of a nitrogen atom in the ligand which has a pair of unshared electrons and is sterically accessible. Further experiments with several compounds of different chemical grouping have confirmed this hypothesis using mouse (Mailman et al., 1974) as well as Fc and CSMA microsomes (Kulkarni *et al.*, 1974). Data reported here agree with these observations.

Some of the organophosphate insecticides exhibit difference spectra of a mixed nature at low as well as high concentrations possibly indicating their ability to bind at both type I and type II sites. Since the peaks in such spectra were located at 385-390 and 415-425 nm (depending upon the source of microsomes and insecticide in question), the presence of a type II component in the spectrum was inferred.

In some cases, concentration-dependent changes from type I to type II were noted. Such responses have been interpreted as being modified type II spectra due to the displacement of an endogenous substrate by the added ligand. This results in abolition of a native type I spectrum in the sample cuvette and the appearance of a reverse type I difference spectrum (Schenkman et al., 1972). In the present investigation this becomes questionable, primarily because (a) the spectra observed are very small in magnitude indicating a simple additive effect between two types of spectra, (b) parathion, another organophosphate insecticide and a type I substrate (Rao and Anders, 1973), has also been reported to have a type II binding component (Roth and Neal, 1972), and (c) aniline, a type II compound, also has a type I binding component in its difference spectrum (Gorrod and Temple, 1973). In addition, it has been suggested, on the basis of structure-function studies, that a nucleophilic oxygen atom in an appropriate configuration can mimic a nitrogen atom in terms of spectral response. Such spectra are typified by having the peak and trough at a somewhat lower wavelength (415-420 nm) (Mailman et al., 1974; Kulkarni et al., 1974). The presence of oxygen atoms in the leaving groups of these insecticides may also be partly responsible for the observed spectra. However, more critical experimentation is needed to clarify this possibility.

A substantial type  $\overline{I}$  binding of metabolites, as compared to their respective parent compounds, has been observed (Table II) in the present study and has been previously reported (Greene and Stevens, 1973; Stevens and Greene, 1973; Stevens *et al.*, 1973). The requirement for NADPH in the *in vitro* oxidative metabolism of insecticides by the mixed-function oxidase system is well established and little or no oxidation occurs during incubation without added NADPH. The spectral data obtained in this study should, therefore, represent the binding of only the parent insecticide.

Many of those compounds causing type I spectral interactions are substrates of the mixed-function oxidase system, and, therefore, it has been stated that type I binding is, in general, related to the metabolism of those xenobiotics which cause it. Type II spectra, with the exception of that caused by aniline, are not related to metabolism. This view finds support in the observations that type II compounds such as aniline, nicotinamide, and 2,4-dichloro-6-phenylphenoxyethylamine (DPEA) inhibit or slow down the rate of cytochrome P-450 reduction by NADPH, a step essential for the oxidative metabolism of xenobiotics by the microsomal mixed-function oxidase system (Schenkman et al., 1967; Gigon et al., 1969; Sa-same and Gillette, 1969). However, Zinophos, Menazon (in mouse), nicotine, anabasine, and Kepone (in Fc house fly), which are known to be metabolized by the mixedfunction oxidase system, exhibit type II spectral interactions with cytochrome P-450. It is, therefore, questionable to presume that all type II interactions are not related to metabolism. The results, however, indicate that most insecticides cause type I spectral interactions with cytochrome P-450 and are in agreement with the hypothesis.

The observation that CSMA microsomes do not show any detectable binding with the insecticides tested is in conformity with other reports (Philpot and Hodgson, 1972; Tate *et al.*, 1973; Kulkarni *et al.*, 1974). However, insecti-

Table V. Comparison of Type II to Type I Spectral **Binding of Insecticides with Microsomal Cytochrome** P-450 from Sheep, Rabbit, Rat, Mouse, and Fc and CSMA House Fly<sup>a</sup>

<b>•</b> •• /					Hou	se fly
Type II/ type I	Sheep	Rabbit	Rat	Mouse	Fc	CSMA
Nicotine/ dimethrin	1.67	7.71	1.70	3.00	1.71	0.00
Nicotine/ DDT	2.61	7.11	4.90	4.66	3.43	0.00
Nicotine / Baygon	1.20	5.19	4.81	2.43	3.03	0.00
Nicotine/ malathion	1.61	5.80	1.92	3.48	1.81	0.00
Zinophos/ dimethrin	1.45	4.59	0.81	1.79	1.33	0.00
Zinophos/	2.27	4.22	2.35	2.79	2.68	0.00
Zinophos/ Baygon	1.04	3.09	2.31	1.45	2.36	0.00
Zinophos/ malathion	1.40	3.45	0.92	2.08	1.41	0.00

<sup>a</sup> Ratios calculated from data presented in Tables I-IV.

cides which show type I binding with the microsomes of other species are known to be metabolized by the mixedfunction oxidase system of CSMA house fly microsomes (Hodgson, 1968; Hodgson and Plapp, 1970). Similarly, microsomes of Baygon-resistant house flies which catalyze the oxidation of Baygon do not yield any detectable difference spectrum with this substrate (unpublished observations). These results, therefore, illustrate that detectable type I binding is not obligatory for metabolism. Similar conclusions have been drawn by Chaplin and Mannering (1970) for different substrates. However, these examples may be taken as exceptions.

The absence of observable type I binding and relatively smaller magnitudes of type II spectra with CSMA house fly microsomes also indicate that cytochrome P-450 present in the CSMA house fly is different from that of the Fc house fly. Even in the mammalian microsomes studied, cytochrome P-450 seems to differ from one species to another. If the cytochrome P-450 of all the mammals tested were alike, similarity in the spectral size as related to the CO spectrum, the concentration of a test insecticide required to produce a given spectrum, and the ratio of type II to type I binding would be expected. However, wide species variation is evident (Table V) and this is further illustrated by Menazon which produces no spectrum in CSMA house flies, type I in Fc house flies, type II in mouse, and a mixture of types I and II in rat, rabbit and sheep microsomes (Table IV). This view finds support from the observation that phenacetin, which causes a type I spectral interaction with rabbit microsomes (Imai and Sato, 1966), elicits a modified type II response in the rat (Schenkman et al., 1972), type II in the mouse (Mailman et al., 1974), type I in Fc house fly microsomes (Kulkarni et al., 1974), and no detectable spectrum with CSMA house fly microsomes (Kulkarni et al., 1974).

Qualitative differences between the microsomes from different species may represent differences in the cytochromes themselves or in the environment within the membrane in which the cytochrome is located. Changes in membrane structure could create differences in accessibility to the binding sites as well as the orientation of the ligand relative to the cytochrome P-450 molecule. The data on the binding of insecticides presented here cannot resolve this problem.

## LITERATURE CITED

- Baker, R. C., Coons, L. B., Mailman, R. B., Hodgson, E., Envi-
- Daket, R. C., Coons, L. B., Maliman, R. B., Hodgson, E., Environ. Res. 5, 418 (1972).
  Chaplin, M. D., Mannering, G. J., Mol. Pharmacol. 6, 631 (1970).
  Cooper, D. Y., Narasimhulu, S., Slade, A., Raich, W., Foroff, O., Rosenthal, O., Life Sci. 4, 2109 (1965).
  Diehl, H., Schadelin, J., Ullrich, V., Hoppe-Seyler's Z. Physiol. Chem. 351, 1359 (1970).
  Gigon, P. L., Gram, T. E., Gillette, J. R., Mol. Pharmacol. 5, 109 (1969).
- (1969). Gillette, J. R., Davis, D. C., Sasame, H. A., Annu. Rev. Pharma-col. 12, 57 (1972).
- Gorrod, J. W., Temple, D. J., Chem.-Biol. Interactions 6, 203 (1973)
- Greene, F. E., *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.* 22, 309 (1972). Greene, F. E., Stevens, J. T., in "Pesticides and the Environ-ment: A Continuing Controversy," Deichmann, W. B., Ed., In-tercontinental Medical Book Corp., New York and London, 1973, pp 167-178. Hodgson, E., "Enzymatic Oxidations of Toxicants," North Caro-
- lina State University at Raleigh, Raleigh, N.C., 1968. Hodgson, E., Plapp, F. W., Jr., J. Agr. Food Chem. 18, 1048
- $(1\bar{9}70)$
- Imai, Y., Sato, R., Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 22, 620 (1966)

- (1500).
   Imai, Y., Sato, R., J. Biochem. 62, 239 (1967).
   Jefcoate, C. R. E., Gaylor, J. L., Biochemistry 8, 3464 (1969).
   Jefcoate, C. R. E., Gaylor, J. L., Calabrese, R. L., Biochemistry 8, 3455 (1969).
- Gross, A. P., Hodgson, E., manuscript in preparation (1975).
  Kulkarni, A. P., Mailman, R. B., Baker, R. C., Hodgson, E., Drug Metab. Disposition 2, 309 (1974).
  Kuwatsuka, S., in "Biochemical Toxicology of Insecticides," O'Brien, R. D., Yamamoto, I., Ed., Academic Press, New York, NY, 1070, pr 121, 124.
- N.Y., 1970, pp 131-144. Lowry, O. H., Rosebrough, H. J., Farr, A. L., Randall, R. J., J. Biol. Chem. 193, 265 (1951).
- Mailman, R. B., Hodgson, E., Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 8, 186 (1972)

- Mailman, R. B., Kulkarni, A. P., Baker, R. C., Hodgson, E., Drug Metab. Disposition 2, 301 (1974).
  Omura, T., Sato, R., J. Biol. Chem. 239, 2370 (1964).
  Parke, D. V., "The Biochemistry of Foreign Compounds," Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1968. Philpot, R. M., Hodgson, E., Chem.-Biol. Interactions 4, 399
- (1972)
- Rao, H. R. G., Anders, M. W., Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 9, 4(1973)
- 4 (1973).
  Remmer, H., Schenkman, J., Estabrook, R. W., Sasame, H., Gillette, J., Narasimhulu, S., Cooper, D. Y., Rosenthal, O., Mol. Pharmacol. 2, 187 (1966).
  Remmer, H., Schenkman, J. B., Greim, H., in "Microsomes and Drug Oxidations," Gillette, J., Estabrook, R. W., Fouts, J. R., Mannering, G., Ed., Academic Press, New York, N.Y., 1969, pp 371-386 371-386.

- Solution Structure
   Sasame, H. A., Sillette, J. R., Mol. Pharmacol. 5, 123 (1969).
   Schenkman, J. B., Cinti, D. L., Orrenius, S., Moldeus, P., Kraschnitz, R., Biochemistry 11, 4243 (1972).
- Schenkman, J. B., Remmer, H., Estabrook, R. W., Mol. Pharmacol. 3, 113 (1967).
- Stevens, J. T., Greene, F. E., Life Sci. 13, 1677 (1973).
  Stevens, J. T., Greene, F. E., Stitzel, R. E., McPhillips, J. J., in "Pesticides and the Environment: A Continuing Controversy," Deichmann, W. B., Ed., Intercontinental Medical Book Corp., New York and London, 1973, pp 489-501.
  Tate, L. G., Plapp, F. W., Hodgson, E., Chem.-Biol. Interactions 6, 237 (1973).

- Temple, D. J., Xenobiotica 1, 507 (1971). Wilkinson, C. F., Brattsten, L. B., Drug Metab. Rev. 1, 153 (1972).

Received for review May 7, 1974. Accepted October 8, 1974. Paper No. 4339 of the Journal Series of the North Carolina State Uni-versity Agricultural Experiment Station, Raleigh, N.C. These in-vestigations were supported in part by Grants ES-00044 and ES-00083 from the U.S. Public Health Service, National Institute of Environmental Health Service, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences. Part of these data were presented at the Joint Annual Meeting of the Entomological Societies of America and Canada (1972) in Montreal and in Symposia on the Mechanism of Survival in Toxic Environment (American Society of Zoologists, Houston, Tex., 1973) and the Biochemistry of Insect Resistance (165th National Meeting of the American Chemical Society Dallae Tex., Dallae Tex., 1911 1073) Society, Dallas, Tex., April 1973)